

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/622,773	07/21/2003	Boris Nejikovsky	740883-176	4232		
22204 75	590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER			
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			WHITTINGTO	WHITTINGTON, KENNETH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2862			
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/622,77	73	NEJIKOVSKY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kenneth J	. Whittington	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIONS OF THIS COMMUNION IN SIONS OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERT OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolunication.) days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and wivill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	b)∐ This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-57 are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co			•			
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage My edynh			
Attachmen	t(s)			Primary	Examiner			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,773

Art Unit: 2862

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a method and apparatus for determining the position of a rail with respect to a sensor assembly using a measured signal.
 - II. Claims 42-57, drawn to a method and apparatus for determining the position of a rail with respect to a sensor assembly using the strongest reading from a sensor in an array of sensors in the sensor assembly.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in Group I has separate utility such as being usable in other processing methods that do not select position based upon a single sensor in the array having the strongest measurement, as is required in the invention of Group II.

Accordingly the invention in Group I does not require the particulars of the invention in Group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,773

Art Unit: 2862

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

5

10

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For Group I:

Species I, Group I: Claims 1-9, 22-25 and 27-41, directed to a measuring the position of a first rail with a first sensor.

Species II, Group I: Claims 1-21 and 26, directed to a second sensors over a second rail in addition to the first rail and first sensor.

For Group II:

Species I, Group II: Claims 42-46 and 54-57, directed to measuring the position of a first rail with a first sensor.

Species II, Group II: Claims 47-53, directed to a second sensor over a second rail in addition to the first rail and first sensor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

Art Unit: 2862

10

15

20

to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 for Group I is generic and claim 42 of Group II is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. The above listing is provided as a guide. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

Art Unit: 2862

15

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to

5 be complete must include an election of the invention to be
examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143), as well as an election of species included in the
invention, as outlined above. For example, if Group I is
elected, Applicant must also elect either Species I or II of

Group I. Likewise if Group II is elected, Species I or II of

Group II must also be elected.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth

J. Whittington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2264. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am
4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,773

Art Unit: 2862

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollafree)

15

10

5

Kenneth J Whittington

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2862

kjw